

24 September 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 17

## **RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** LEARNING DISABILITIES  
ACCOMMODATION

**AUTHOR:** KARIN DIVALL

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That it be agreed to re-model the council's accommodation for people with learning disabilities as set out in Option 1 (paragraph 4.1).

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

- (1) The decision is sought following a full consultation with stakeholders in order to deliver a 2 year plan that provides a more cost effective service focused on supporting people with complex needs, and challenging behaviour, and supporting people to move-on and increase their independence.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) The consultation process explored alternative models of accommodation which could meet the needs of the service users whilst delivering improved value for money.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 25 September 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

24 September 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 18

## **RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** TRANSFER OF CARE FROM A SHORT  
TERM BED

**AUTHOR:** JANE MACDONALD

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That the Transfer of Care from a Short Term bed policy and the implementation thereof, be approved.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

- (1) The main reason for the recommendation is a tightening of policy to ensure people move through short term bed in a timely way.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) There is the option not to introduce this policy. This would leave the status quo which could result in people not moving through the service in a timely way, beds may become 'blocked' and the service would not have capacity to manage those who need a bed.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 25 September 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (iii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (iv) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

24 September 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 19

## **RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** CARE HOME REVISED FRAMEWORK  
ARRANGEMENTS

**AUTHOR:** JANE MACDONALD

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That the process for procuring & the awarding of the contract and the timescales outlined in the report be agreed.
- (2) That it is agreed that the Director of Adult Social Services is given delegated authority to award contracts.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

- (1) The new contract is robust and compliant with procurement and legal advice.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) No change to status quo – not viable in procurement terms and could present a risk in terms of current equalities legislation
- (2) Full tender – risk of losing in-city provision with immediate effect and also over time

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 25 September 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (v) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (vi) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

24 September 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 20

## **RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** RESPONSE TO THE REPORT OF THE  
SCRUTINY REVIEW ON INFORMATION  
SHARING REGARDING VULNERABLE  
ADULTS

**AUTHOR:** DENISE D'SOUZA

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That the evidence, findings and recommendations of the Scrutiny Panel on information sharing regarding vulnerable adults, be noted.
- (2) That the actions and comments summarised in Appendix 1 to the report, in response to the Panel's recommendations, be agreed.
- (3) The progress already achieved on the actions, be noted.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

- (1) The actions outlined in the Appendix is a natural development of current activity

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) Not accepting the recommendations would be a missed opportunity to provide better services to customers

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 25 September 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (vii) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (viii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

24 September 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 21

## **RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** PERFORMANCE REPORT ADULT  
SOCIAL CARE

**AUTHOR:** PHILIP LETCHFIELD

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That the proposals to produce a local account for 2012/13 and sign up to the 'Making it Real Programme' to support this work, be approved.
- (2) That the Committee's comments on performance in relation to the Adult Social Care Outcomes Framework 2011/12, as outlined in the minutes be noted.
- (3) That it is agreed that a traffic light system be used in future reports.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

- (1) The recommendations support the development of a more transparent and locally accountable performance framework for adult social care services in the city.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) The national reporting requirements are set out by the Department of Health.
- (6) The option to not produce a Local Account, participate in Peer Review or participate in the Making It Real programme, given these are voluntary, is open for consideration. However these are considered opportunities to promote service improvement, local accountability and transparency which should be taken up. It is recognised that these will require additional resources and this needs to be proportionate within the broader priorities across Adult Social Care.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 25 September 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services  
**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (ix) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,

- (x) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

24 September 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 22

## **RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION**

**SUBJECT:** SAFEGUARDING ADULTS AT RISK

**AUTHOR:** MICHELLE JENKINS

### **THE DECISION**

- (1) That the Safeguarding work carried out in 2011-12, and the work planned for 2012-13 be noted.
- (2) That the report for be agreed for circulation.

### **REASON FOR THE DECISION**

- (1) To ensure the Adult Care & Health Committee has an overview of safeguarding performance.

### **DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- (1) Safeguarding is a core statutory responsibility and it is important that there is good monitoring and oversight of performance, and that this is presented publicly each year.

**Proper Officer:**

Date: 25 September 2012

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

**Signed:**



### **CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY**

Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to:

- (xi) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or,
- (xii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.

